**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**APR 10 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DAVID FREDERICK THORNTON, aka Seal A,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 03-50310

D.C. No. CR-02-00185-AHS

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Alicemarie H. Stotler, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 5, 2006\*\*

Before: HAWKINS, McKEOWN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

David Frederick Thornton appeals from the 96-month sentence imposed following his guilty plea to mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341, wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, and impersonation of a federal officer, in

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 912 and 2. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

Thornton contends that the district court violated his Sixth Amendment rights by ordering restitution. This contention is foreclosed by *United States v. Bussell*, 414 F.3d 1048, 1060 (9th Cir. 2005).

As appellant was sentenced under the then-mandatory Sentencing Guidelines, and we cannot reliably determine from the record whether the sentence imposed would have been materially different had the district court known that the Guidelines were advisory, we remand to the sentencing court for further proceedings consistent with *United States v. Ameline*, 409 F.3d 1073, 1084-85 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc).

## REMANDED.